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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Although it is perhaps not immediately obvious, there is a very intimate relation between discrete and continuous systems. In fact, they generally represent two distinct mathematical models of the same physical system [1]. To demonstrate this, the differential equation for the transverse vibrations of a string is derived first by regarding it as a discrete system and then letting it approach a continuous model in the limit. Then the problem is formulated by regarding the system as continuous from the beginning, and it is shown that the same equation of motion is obtained in both cases. In reference [2], after deriving the equations of longitudinal oscillations of mass points connected by massless springs and transverse planar oscillations of mass points on a stretched massless string, it is observed that both equations have exactly the same form. Then, the behaviour of these discrete systems is examined when the characteristic scale of the phenomena is large compared with the interparticle spacing. Noting that the resulting limiting equations describe a continuous string, the same equations are also derived directly. A similar line of thought is followed also in the following two works. In reference [3], by starting from the loaded string discrete system, the differential equation for the vibrations of the wire is derived by making the number of discrete masses tend to infinity in the discrete equation of motion. On the other hand, in reference [4], the transition approach from a discrete to a continuous system is applied to the small longitudinal vibrations of an infinitely long elastic rod. In reference [5], in the section entitled "Lumped Parameter Representation of Continuous Systems", a slightly different path is followed to investigate the accuracy with which the frequencies of longitudinal vibrations of a continuous rod may be estimated by representing it as a series of identical masses and springs where the rod under consideration is assumed to be rigidly held at one end and fixed at the other. After establishing an explicit formula for the eigenfrequencies of the discrete system, it is shown, among others, that the discrete mass approximation underestimates the natural frequencies of the continuous system.

The present letter deals with the mechanical system shown in Figure 1, which is essentially the same as that in reference [5], i.e. a longitudinally vibrating rod fixed at one end and free at the other. In the present study a viscous damping element is included in the system, a feature which is not considered in the references cited above. The first step in this work aims to derive the characteristic equation of this continuous system (to the knowledge of the authors, it is not available in the technical literature). The second step, which results from an aim that is considered to be more important by the authors, is to obtain the characteristic values and to study the dependence of their convergence properties towards the actual values, with the number of discrete masses n , for a continuous system approximated by a uniform chain comprised of $n$ equal masses and springs. It is thought that a contribution will result in the area of investigation of the approximation properties of a discrete model for a damped continuous system. These kinds


Figure 1. Original system: longitudinally vibrating elastic rod, viscously damped in-span.
of damped continuous systems could be encountered in diverse technological areas such as drill strings, ocean cables, piping systems, and space structures.

## 2. THEORY

The mechanical system to be investigated is shown in Figure 1. It consists of an axially vibrating fixed-free elastic rod of length $L$ which is damped viscously at an intermediate location $\eta L$. Axial rigidity and mass per unit length of the rod are $E A$ and $m$, respectively. The effective damping constant is $d$. Consider first the continuous model.

### 2.1. The continuous model

The equations of motion of the longitudinal vibrations of a rod is the well known partial differential equation [1].

$$
\begin{equation*}
E A u^{\prime \prime}(x, t)=m \ddot{u}(x, t) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where over dots and primes denote partial derivatives with respect to time $t$ and position coordinate $x$, respectively. Denote the axial displacements in the regions to the left and right of the attachment point of the damper as $u_{1}(x, t)$ and $u_{2}(x, t)$. Both of them are subject to the differential equation (1). The corresponding boundary and matching conditions are:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{1}(0, t)=0, \quad u_{1}(\eta L, t)=u_{2}(\eta L, t), \quad u_{2}^{\prime}(L, t)=0 \\
u_{1}^{\prime}(\eta L, t)-u_{2}^{\prime}(\eta L, t)+[d /(E A)] \dot{u}_{1}(\eta L, t)=0 \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Assuming a solution of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}(x, t)=U_{i}(x) \exp (\lambda t) \quad(i=1,2) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2. Discrete model: viscously damped uniform oscillator made up of $n$ equal masses and springs.

Table 1
Dependence of the first four dimensionless eigenfrequencies of the undamped rod, on the number of discrete masses n

|  | $\bar{\omega}_{1}$ | $\bar{\omega}_{2}$ | $\bar{\omega}_{3}$ | $\bar{\omega}_{4}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | 1.57079633 | 4.71238898 | 7.85398163 | 10.99557429 |
| 1 | 1.00000000 | - | - | - |
| 2 | 1.23606798 | 3.23606798 | - | - |
| 3 | 1.33512560 | 3.74093881 | 5.40581321 | - |
| 4 | 1.38918542 | 4.00000000 | $6 \cdot 12835554$ | 7.51754097 |
| 5 | 1.42314838 | 4.15415013 | 6.54860734 | 8.41253533 |
| 6 | 1.44644016 | 4.25525864 | $6 \cdot 81677696$ | 8.98212898 |
| 7 | 1.46339849 | 4.32623792 | 7.00000000 | 9.36782849 |
| 8 | 1.47629375 | 4.37860784 | 7.13181369 | 9.64215418 |
| 9 | 1.48642822 | 4.41873877 | 7.23051764 | 9.84506685 |
| 10 | 1.49460187 | 4.45041868 | 7.30682049 | 10.00000000 |
| 15 | 1.51947507 | 4.54283333 | 7.51957597 | 10.41915759 |
| 20 | 1.53210935 | 4.58733702 | 7.61564437 | 10.59926009 |
| 30 | 1.54487482 | 4.63052773 | 7.70390131 | 10.75684553 |
| 40 | 1.55130654 | 4.65158631 | 7.74486966 | 10.82650398 |
| 50 | 1.55518119 | 4.66403904 | 7.76838473 | 10.86521501 |
| 100 | 1.56296551 | 4.68851472 | 7.81291859 | 10.93541388 |
| 150 | 1.56557063 | 4.69654134 | 7.82700044 | 10.95660692 |
| 200 | 1.56687512 | 4.70052919 | 7.83389476 | 10.96677950 |
| 250 | 1.56765844 | 4.70291367 | 7.83798398 | 10.97274609 |
| 300 | 1.56818090 | 4.70449986 | 7.84069027 | 10.97666644 |
| 350 | 1.56855422 | 4.70563116 | 7.84261358 | 10.97943850 |
| 400 | 1.56883428 | 4.70647870 | 7.84405072 | 10.98150208 |
| 500 | 1.56922646 | 4.70766391 | 7.84605499 | 10.98436880 |
| 600 | 1.56948797 | 4.70845318 | 7.84738617 | 10.98626546 |
| 800 | 1.56981494 | 4.70943878 | 7.84904448 | 10.98861996 |
| 1000 | 1.57001116 | 4.71002961 | 7.85003645 | 10.99002394 |
| 1200 | 1.57014199 | 4.71042328 | 7.85069650 | 10.99095629 |
| 1500 | 1.57027283 | 4.71081677 | 7.85135555 | 10.99188572 |

$U_{i}(x)$ and $\lambda$ being the unknown amplitude functions and characteristic value, results in the following ordinary differential equations for $U_{i}(x)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{i}^{\prime \prime}(x)-\beta^{2} U_{i}(x)=0 \quad(i=1,2) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{2}=m \lambda^{2} /(E A) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is introduced.
The solutions of the differential equations (4) are

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{1}(x)=C_{1} \exp (\beta x)+C_{2} \exp (-\beta x) \\
& U_{2}(x)=C_{3} \exp (\beta x)+C_{4} \exp (-\beta x) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{1}-C_{4}$ are integration constants to be determined. The substitution of the solutions (3) in connection with (6) into the boundary conditions (2) yields a set of four homogeneous equations for the determination of the constants $C_{1}-C_{4}$. For non-vanishing solutions, the determinant of the coefficients must be equated to zero. It can be shown
Table 2
Dependence of the first four dimensionless eigencharacteristics on the number of discrete masses n

|  | $\bar{\beta}_{1}$ | $\bar{\beta}_{2}$ | $\bar{\beta}_{3}$ | $\bar{\beta}_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $-0.00147243+1.57079601 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00021482+4.71238901 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00224967+7.85398163 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00021482+10.99557426 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 1 | $-0.00112483+0.99999937 \mathrm{i}$ |  |  |  |
| 2 | $-0 \cdot 00062179+1 \cdot 23606810 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0 \cdot 00162787+3 \cdot 23606684 \mathrm{i}$ |  |  |
| 3 | $-0.00117869+1.33512539 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00036301+3 \cdot 74093886 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00183280+5.40581157 \mathrm{i}$ |  |
| 4 | $-0.00082623+1.38918552 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00149978+3.99999972 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0 \cdot 00023392+6 \cdot 12835557 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00193940+7.51753884 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 5 | $-0.00116810+1.42314825 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0 \cdot 00059778+4 \cdot 15415018 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00169222+6.54860700 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00016233+8.41253534 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 6 | $-0.00140650+1.44643981 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00011893+4.25525866 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00204645+6.81677697 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00044848+8.98212882 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 7 | $-0.00115958+1.46339839 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00072542+4.32623797 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00157477+6.99999982 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00034736+9.36782851 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 8 | $-0.00134838+1.47629349 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00027630+4.37860788 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00209931+7.13181353 i$ | $-0.00007149+9.64215418 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 9 | $-0.00115363+1.48642815 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00080403+4 \cdot 41873881 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00149369+7.23051753 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0 \cdot 00048279+9 \cdot 84506687 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 10 | $-0.00130965+1.49460166 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00040334+4.45041873 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00203645+7.30682029 \mathrm{i}$ | $0 \cdot 00000000+10 \cdot 00000000 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 15 | $-0.00136140+1.51947482 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00033857+4.54283337 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00212718+7.51957583 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0 \cdot 00002228+10 \cdot 41915758 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 20 | $-0.00138819+1.53210909 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00030670+4.58733706 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00216593+7.61564426 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0 \cdot 00005114+10 \cdot 59926008 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 30 | $-0.00141561+1.54487454 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0 \cdot 00027535+4.63052776 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00219961+7.70390123 i$ | $-0.00009259+10 \cdot 75684552 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 40 | $-0.00142957+1.55130626 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00025991+4.65158635 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00221438+7.74486960 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00011817+10.82650397 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 50 | $-0.00143802+1.55518090 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0 \cdot 00025074+4.66403907 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00222255+7.76838468 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0 \cdot 00013510+10 \cdot 86521500 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 100 | $-0.00145510+1.56296521 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0 \cdot 00023262+4.68851475 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00223724+7.81291857 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00017255+10.93541386 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 150 | $-0.00146085+1.56557032 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00022665+4.69654137 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00224164+7.82700043 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00018610+10 \cdot 95660689 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 200 | $-0.00146373+1.56687481 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0 \cdot 00022368+4.70052922 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00224375+7.83389475 i$ | $-0 \cdot 00019308+10 \cdot 96677948 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 250 | $-0.00146547+1.56765813 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00022190+4.70291370 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00224498+7.83798397 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00019733+10.97274606 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 300 | $-0.00146663+1.56818059 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0 \cdot 000221072+4 \cdot 70449989 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00224579+7.84069026 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0 \cdot 00020020+10 \cdot 97666641 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 350 | $-0.00146745+1.56855391 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00021987+4.70563119 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00224636+7.84261358 i$ | $-0.00020225+10.97943847 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 400 | $-0.00146807+1.56883397 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0 \cdot 00021924+4 \cdot 70647873 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00224678+7.84405072 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00020380+10.98150205 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 500 | $-0.00146894+1.56922614 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0 \cdot 00021836+4.70766394 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00224737+7.84605499 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0 \cdot 00020598+10 \cdot 98436877 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 600 | $-0.00146952+1.56948766 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00021777+4.70845321 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00224776+7.84738616 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00020744+10 \cdot 98626543 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 800 | $-0.00147025+1.56981463 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0 \cdot 00021703+4 \cdot 70943881 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00224824+7.84904448 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00020928+10.98861993 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 1000 | $-0.00147068+1.57001085 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00021659+4.71002964 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00224853+7.85003645 i$ | $-0 \cdot 00021038+10 \cdot 99002391 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 1200 | $-0.00147097+1.57014167 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00021629+4.71042331 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00224872+7.85069650 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00021111+10.99095626 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 1500 | $-0.00147126+1.57027252 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0 \cdot 00021600+4.71081680 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.00224891+7.85135555 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0 \cdot 00021186+10 \cdot 99188570 \mathrm{i}$ |



Figure 3. Dependence of (a) the real parts, (b) the imaginary parts of the eigencharacteristics $\bar{\beta}_{i}$ on the number of the discrete masses.
after some algebraic manipulations that this condition results in the following characteristic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \cosh \bar{\beta}+a\{\sinh \bar{\beta}-\sinh [(1-2 \eta) \bar{\beta}]\}=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the abbreviations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\beta}=\beta L, \quad a=d \lambda /(E A \beta) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

are introduced. For comparison purposes with other studies, it is worth noting that $\bar{\beta}$ above can also be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\beta}=\lambda L / c \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c=\sqrt{E / \rho}$ represents the velocity of the wave propagation along the rod, $\rho$ being the density of the rod material.
The complex roots of the transcendental equation (7) give the dimensionless characteristic parameters $\bar{\beta}$ and therefore by considering expressions (8), the eigencharacteristics $\lambda$ of the system in Figure 1.

Before proceeding further to the solution of the complex equation (7), it is in order to consider the special case $\eta=1$ which corresponds to the case that the rod is damped at the free end. It is an easy matter to show that the equation (7) simplifies to the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
(E A / c) \exp (\bar{\beta})+\exp (-\bar{\beta})=-d(\exp (\bar{\beta})-\exp (-\bar{\beta})) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is also given in [6] in different notations.
With $\bar{\beta}$ as a complex number

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\beta}=x+i y \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

it can be shown after manipulations that the solution of the complex equation (7) with respect to $\bar{\beta}$ is equivalent to the simultaneous solution of the following set of two real equations with respect to $x$ and $y$

$$
\begin{align*}
& (2 \cosh x+a \sinh x) \cos y-a \sinh [(1-2 \eta) x] \cos [(1-2 \eta) y]=0 \\
& (2 \sinh x+a \cosh x) \sin y-a \cosh [(1-2 \eta) x] \sin [(1-2 \eta) y]=0 \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.2. The discrete model

The original continuous system in Figure 1 is now modelled as a uniform oscillator consisting of $n$ equal springs of stiffness coefficient $k$ and $n$ equal masses of mass $m^{\prime}$ (Figure 2). The oscillator is damped at the $p$ th mass by a viscous damper of damping coefficient $d$.

It is reasonable to take

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=n(E A / L), \quad m^{\prime}=m L / n \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ denotes the integer nearest to $\eta n$.

Table 3
Minimum number of discrete masses required for the given error bounds

| Error | $\operatorname{real}\left(\bar{\beta}_{1}\right)$ | $\operatorname{imag}\left(\bar{\beta}_{1}\right)$ | $\operatorname{real}\left(\bar{\beta}_{2}\right)$ | $\operatorname{imag}\left(\bar{\beta}_{2}\right)$ | $\operatorname{real}\left(\bar{\beta}_{3}\right)$ | $\operatorname{imag}\left(\bar{\beta}_{3}\right)$ | $\operatorname{real}\left(\bar{\beta}_{4}\right)$ | $\operatorname{imag}\left(\bar{\beta}_{4}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5 \%$ | 30 | 10 | 200 | 15 | 20 | 15 | 500 | 20 |
| $2 \%$ | 100 | 30 | 500 | 30 | 40 | 30 | 1200 | 40 |
| $1 \%$ | 150 | 50 | 1000 | 100 | 100 | 100 | - | 100 |
| $0 \cdot 5 \%$ | 250 | 100 | - | 150 | 150 | 150 | - | 150 |
| $0 \cdot 2 \%$ | 600 | 250 | - | 300 | 300 | 300 | - | 300 |
| $0 \cdot 1 \%$ | 1200 | 500 | - | 600 | 600 | 600 | - | 600 |

The mass, damping and stiffness matrices $\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{C}$, and $\mathbf{K}$ of the system in Figure 2 are simply

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{K}=k\left[\begin{array}{rrrrr}
2 & -1 & & & \\
-1 & 2 & \ddots & & \mathbf{0} \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& \mathbf{0} & \ddots & 2 & -1 \\
& & & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right] . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Going to the state description of the system, it can be shown that the eigencharacteristics of the damped oscillator can be obtained as the eigenvalues $\lambda$ of the following $2 n \times 2 n$ system matrix

$$
\mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{0} & \vdots & \mathbf{I}  \tag{15}\\
\ldots \ldots & \cdots & \cdots
\end{array}\right] .
$$

which can be written as

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{0}^{2}=k / m^{\prime}=n^{2} E A /\left(m L^{2}\right), \quad v=d / m^{\prime}=n d /(m L) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathbf{I}$ being the $n$ dimensional identity matrix.

Once the eigenvalues $\lambda$ of the above system matrix $\mathbf{A}$ are determined, the resulting complex numbers multiplied by $L / c$ can be compared with those $\bar{\beta}$ obtained as the roots of equation (7) or equivalently, as the solutions of the set of equations (12) in connection with (11).

For the sake of completeness, the corresponding expressions for the undamped case are also collected. As is known, in the undamped case even the explicit expressions of the eigenfrequencies can be given for both systems, rather than the frequency equations. The $i$ th eigenfrequency of the system in Figure 1 without damping is [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{i}=(i-1 / 2) \pi \sqrt{E A /\left(m L^{2}\right)} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas that of the system in Figure 2 can be shown to be [7]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{i}=\sqrt{2 n^{2}\{1-\cos [(2 i-1) \pi /(2 n+1)]\}} \sqrt{E A /\left(m L^{2}\right)} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is an easy matter to show that when $n$ tends to infinity, formula (19) reduces to the expression given by (18).

## 3. NUMERICAL APPLICATIONS

This section is devoted to the numerical evaluation of the expressions used and derived in the preceding section. The following data are selected for the physical parameters of the vibrating system in Figure 1. The rod under consideration is an aluminum rod of circular section.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L=1 \mathrm{~m}, \quad A=\pi \times 10^{-4} m^{2}, \quad E=7 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \\
& \rho=2.86 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}, \quad d=10 \mathrm{~N} /(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}), \quad \eta=0.6
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 1 is concerned with the undamped case. The first row contains the first four dimensionless exact eigenfrequencies $\bar{\omega}_{i}=\omega_{i} / \sqrt{E A /\left(m L^{2}\right)}$ of the continuous rod, calculated from equation (18). In the remainder of the table, the corresponding non-dimensional eigenfrequencies of the discretized rod, i.e. uniform oscillator are collected in dependence of the number of the selected degrees of freedom $n$, calculated from (19). In Table 1, it can be seen that the discrete approximation error decreases with number of discrete masses $n$, with initially a larger sensitivity with respect to $n$, and approaching zero asymptotically. It is worth noting that with some of the smaller $n$ values, there is the additional error producing effect due to the rounding of the number $\eta n$ as it may not coincide with a mass point, i.e. it may not be an integer, in which case it is rounded to the nearest integer. As expected, convergence is best for the fundamental frequency. The sensitivity of the error with respect to $n$ becomes generally less for the higher frequencies.

Consider now the damped case. The corresponding values which are complex numbers rather than real are collected in Table 2. The non-dimensional eigencharacteristics $\bar{\beta}$ are given rather than $\lambda$, to allow comparison with the undamped case. The first row contains $\bar{\beta}$ values which were obtained from the numerical solution of the set of equations given in (12) with the help of MATLAB. In other words, these are the "exact" values. The complex numbers in the remainder of the table show the corresponding $\bar{\beta}$ values which were determined as the eigenvalues of the system matrix $\mathbf{A}$ in (16) multiplied by $L / c$ according to the relation (9). In other words, these represent the approximate values in dependence of the degrees of freedom of the uniform oscillator. It is worth noting that in case of $n$ degrees of freedom, the eigenvalues are computed from a matrix of order $2 n \times 2 n$. Due to the smallness of the selected viscous damping constant $d$, the imaginary parts of the $\bar{\beta}_{i}$ values are practically the same as the $\bar{\omega}_{i}$ values in Table 1 , providing an indirect indication of the validity of the method used to calculate the damped
eigencharacteristics. The convergence characteristics of the damped case are observed to be similar to those of the undamped case, including the rounding effect associated with $\eta n$, and the dependence of the sensitivity of the error with respect to $n$, on the mode number. In Figure 3, the real and imaginary parts of the eigencharacteristics $\bar{\beta}$ for the damped case are plotted for a certain range of the number of discrete masses $n$, to illustrate the nature of convergence with $n$. In Figure 3(a), it can be seen that the discrete mass approximation overestimates the real part of the eigencharacteristics except for the second mode. On the other hand, in Figure 3(b), it can be observed that the discrete mass approximation underestimates the imaginary parts, i.e. the "damped eigenfrequencies" for all four modes. It is worth noting that what is shown in reference [5] for the undamped case, remains valid also for the damped case. To see the dependence of the error on the number of discrete masses better, minimum numbers of discrete masses required for some prescribed error bounds are given in Table 3.

Table 3 is based on the same set of discrete mass numbers $n$, which were used in Table 2. The columns for the imaginary parts in this table will be the same as the columns of a table for the undamped case because of the smallness of the damping coefficient, as can be seen from the comparison of Tables 1 and 2 . It can be observed from Table 3 that the errors in the real parts of $\bar{\beta}_{1}$ and $\bar{\beta}_{3}$ are reduced much faster with increasing $n$, compared to those of $\bar{\beta}_{2}$ and $\bar{\beta}_{4}$. On the other hand, the decrease of errors in all the imaginary parts are more sensitive to an increase in $n$, than those in the real parts. Furthermore, all the imaginary part errors vary with $n$ in roughly the same manner.

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

The present study deals with a longitudinally vibrating elastic rod fixed at one end free at the other, damped viscously by a single damper in-span. In the first step, the characteristic equation of the continuous system is derived. In the second, the rod is modelled as a uniform oscillator consisting of $n$ equal masses and springs. The main purpose of the work was to study the dependence of the convergence of the uniform oscillator model eigencharacteristics towards those obtained from the continuous system (i.e. the "exact" values), on the number of discrete masses $n$.
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